

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FI		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,587	03/29/2004	Daniele Pressato	2039-0124PUS2	2626
2292	7590 09/29/	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASO	MAIER, LEIGH C		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	л ЛРСН, VA 22040-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1623	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\ <b>&gt;</b>
---------------

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/812,58	37	PRESSATO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Leigh C. M	laier	1623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 July 2006.							
-	·	This action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,12-15 and 20-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 11,16-19 and 24 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)[	objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			,						
Attachment	(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/06</u> . 6) Other:									

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the Claims

Claims 11 and 16-18 have been amended. Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 1-10 and 20-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Claims 12-15 are also withdrawn at this time as not corresponding to the elected species.

Any objection or rejection not expressly repeated has been withdrawn. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed July 19, 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims based upon 35 USC § 103 as set forth in the last Office action. The declaration posits that the Malson product would be undesirable to use and would actually be poisonous. However, the rejection was not on the ground that it would be obvious to use the Malson product, but rather the Della Valle product. The Malson reference was used to demonstrate that it was known to use crosslinked HA for the prevention of surgical adhesion and that it was desirable to minimize "alien" crosslinking products. What actual alien substances are comprised in the Malson product is irrelevant.

The declaration further states that while Malson may have reduced impurities, the crosslinked HA of the invention has no foreign molecules. The examiner agrees, and this is exactly the point of the rejection. The product disclosed by Della Valle—apparently identical to the product used in the instant method—would appear to be superior for just this reason. Per the previous Office action: "The artisan would be further motivated to use this product because it is

crosslinked without incorporating into the covalent structure chemicals that could produce undesirable immunological/inflammatory reactions."

The declarant further states her opinion that it is incorrect to think that any crosslinked HA can be substituted for any other. This opinion is otherwise unsupported. The examiner maintains that based on the state of the art, it would be reasonable to expect that the Della Valle crosslinked HA would have similar utility in the prevention of surgical adhesions as other crosslinked HA products disclosed in the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 11, 16, 19 and 24 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Della Valle et al (EP 341745) in view of Malson et al (US 5,783,691), as set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to this rejection, Applicant reiterates what was stated in the declaration. This is addressed above. Applicant further states that "obvious to try" is not the proper standard. The examiner agrees, and this is not the standard applied. Based on what is known the in the art, it would be reasonable to expect success in using the Della Valle product in the instant method, not merely obvious to try.

Claims 11, 16, 19 and 24 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Della Valle et al (EP 341745) in view of Malson et al (US 5,783,691) and further in view of Matsuda et al (US 5,462,976), as set forth in the previous Office action.

Page 4

Claims 11, 16, 19 and 24 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Della Valle et al (EP 341745) in view of Malson et al (US 5,783,691) and further in view of Leshchiner et al (US 5,399,351), as set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant adds nothing further not already addressed above.

Claims 11, 16-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Della Valle et al (EP 341745) in view of Malson et al (US 5,783,691) and further in view of Dorigatti et al (WO 94/17837).

Claim 17 has been amended to depend from claim. Della Valle and Malson teach as set forth in the previous Office action. The combination of references does not teach the use of a biomaterial comprising a non-biodegradable synthetic polymer.

Dorigatti teaches the use of a HA derivative in combination with various synthetic polymers. This material has utility as an anti-adhesive product for use in surgery. See abstract; page 7, lines 6-28; examples; and reference claim 36.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the product disclosed by Dorigatti by the use of the HA product disclosed by Della Valle. As discussed previously, HA products are known generally to have

anti-adhesive properties. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would reasonably expect success in preparing a multilayer product taught by Dorigatti using the Della Valle crosslinked HA.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner September 27, 2006